

## Linear Relationships Between Carbon-13 and Proton Chemical Shifts and Hückel $\pi$ -Electron Densities in Diazoles and Triazoles

By BRIAN M. LYNCH

(Department of Medical Chemistry, John Curtin School of Medical Research, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia)

REES and GREEN<sup>1</sup> have reported that they were unable to find any correlation between theoretically calculated  $\pi$ -electron densities and <sup>13</sup>C chemical shifts in pyrazoles. In view of our success<sup>2</sup> in relating calculated  $\pi$ -electron densities for the diazoles with the proton chemical shifts, and the correlations noted by Weigert and Roberts<sup>3</sup>

Weigert and Roberts (see the Table). The slope of the relationship (*ca.* 130 p.p.m./electron) is comparable to that noted for some charged carbocyclic aromatic species.<sup>5</sup> The proton shifts for these compounds in trifluoroacetic acid also show linear correlations with the MO  $\pi$ -electron densities; furthermore, tetrazole, which exhibits

*Carbon-13 and proton chemical shifts of diazoles, triazoles, and tetrazole and MO  $\pi$ -electron densities*

Position on nucleus	Species	Carbon-13 shift (p.p.m. upfield from CS <sub>2</sub> ) <sup>3,a</sup> $\delta_C$	Proton shift (p.p.m. downfield from tetramethylsilane, for CF <sub>3</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> H solutions) $\delta_H$	MO $\pi$ -electron density $q_\pi$
4	Pyrazole	88.1	6.95 <sup>b</sup>	1.137
4	Imidazole	71.0	7.53 <sup>b</sup>	1.067
4	1,2,3-Triazole	62.4	7.90	0.980
3	Pyrazole	59.5	8.25 <sup>b</sup>	0.973
2	Imidazole	57.1	8.67 <sup>b</sup>	0.907
3	1,2,4-Triazole	45.2	8.80	0.835
5	Tetrazole	48.8 <sup>c</sup>	9.12	0.813

<sup>a</sup> Solvent, acetone except where noted. <sup>b</sup> Ref. 2.

<sup>c</sup> In dimethyl sulphoxide, therefore excluded from correlations as not comparable.

$\delta_C = -64.88 + 131.0 q_\pi$  ( $r = 0.974$ , 6 points).

$\delta_H = 14.32 - 6.41 q_\pi$  ( $r = 0.984$ , 7 points).

between the  $2p_z$  atomic populations from extended Huckel calculations (which reflect  $\pi$ -electron distributions) and the <sup>13</sup>C chemical shifts, these results appear surprising. This discrepancy may arise if the calculations cited by Rees and Green employed parameters for two types of nitrogen atom, while I only considered a single heteroatom, as exchange renders all the nitrogens equivalent on the n.m.r. time scale.

A good linear relationship (correlation coefficient: 0.974) is found between the  $\pi$ -electron densities calculated for the diazoles and triazoles by the simple MO method<sup>4</sup> (with the nitrogen electronegativity parameter set at +1 for all nitrogen atoms) and the <sup>13</sup>C chemical shifts of

abnormal carbon shielding in terms of correlations with extended Huckel calculations or with MO results, shows a proton shift in agreement with the calculated MO  $\pi$ -electron densities.

The results suggest very strongly that the <sup>13</sup>C and proton chemical shifts in these five-membered azoles are determined by the same factors: namely, the  $\pi$ -electron densities. Further, the simple MO method seems as valid a predictor of the order of chemical shifts as the extended Huckel technique.

I thank the National Research Council of Canada for a Senior Research Fellowship and for financial support.

(Received, July 31st, 1968; Com. 1048.)

<sup>1</sup> R. G. Rees and M. J. Green, *J. Chem. Soc. (B)*, 1968, 387.

<sup>2</sup> B. M. Lynch and H. J. M. Dou, *Tetrahedron Letters* 1966, 2627.

<sup>3</sup> F. J. Weigert and J. D. Roberts, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1968, **90**, 3543.

<sup>4</sup> A. Streitwieser, "Molecular Orbital Theory for Organic Chemists", Wiley, New York, 1961, p. 33.

<sup>5</sup> H. Spiesscke and W. G. Schneider, *Tetrahedron Letters*, 1961, 468.